



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,738	01/25/2002	Thomas Ward Humphrey	IBM / 215	9917
26517	7590 12/23/2004		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HEI 2700 CAREW	RRON & EVANS, L.L.P	MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
441 VINE ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			2686	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/056,738	THOMAS WARD HUMPHERY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Naghmeh Mehrpour	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
. —	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/02, 6/24/02.		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _				

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure submitted on 06/24/02, 06/22/04 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-15, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of Dettinger (U.S. Publication 2003/0143954 A1) in view of Westerhuis (EP Publication EP 0957619 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Dettinger teaches a method of controlling the response of a wireless communication device (claim 1) comprising the following steps:

Art Unit: 2686

providing a first wireless communication device capable of audibly responding (claim 1); networking said first device with a second wireless device (claim 1);

Dettinger fails to teach a method wherein first devices networking with the number of wireless devices, sampling the sound pressure level through a microphone used by the first device; and selecting to modifying the audible response of the first device based on a count of other networked devices and the sampled sound pressure level. However Westerhuis teaches a method wherein first devices networking with the number of wireless devices (col 5 section 0028), sampling the sound pressure level through a microphone used by the first device (col 5 lines 15-29); and

selecting to modifying the audible response of the first device based on a count of other networked devices (col 5 lines 29-31) and the sampled sound pressure level (col 5 lines 15-21). Magnetic strip senses in the neighborhood of the number of portable terminal, and when the call comes activates the newly selected notification type and deactivates of a formally active notification, the notification type control device CTRL-2 deactivates the light emitting diode LED2 and activates the speaker (col 7 section 0036). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the above teaching with Dettinger, in order to use of the digital radio bandwidth to broadcast multiple content on a single station.

Regarding claims 6, 11, Dettinger teaches an audible response, a microphone, and storage for executable code, the processor executing the executable code to allow a provider to input threshold parameters (claim 8).

Art Unit: 2686

Dettinger fails to teach a wireless communication device/program product, communication device operates by software; therefore, it reads a product program comprising: network the device with other wireless devices a processor executing the executable code to allow a provider to input threshold parameters, network the device with other wireless devices, sample the sound pressure level through the microphone, make comparisons between the threshold parameters and a count of other devices networked with the devices, and the sampled sound pressure level, and modify audible response of wireless communication device based on the comparison. However, Westerhuis teaches a teaches a method wherein network the device with other wireless devices (col 5 section 0028), a processor executing the executable code to allow a provider to input threshold parameters, network the device with other wireless devices sample the sound pressure level through the microphone (col 5 lines 15-29); and and modify audible response of wireless communication device based on the comparison (col 6 section 0033, col 7 section 0036) selecting to modifying the audible response of the first device based on a count of other networked devices (col 5 lines 29-31) and the sampled sound pressure level (col 5 lines 15-21). Magnetic strip senses in the neighborhood of the number of portable terminal, and when the call comes activates the newly selected notification type and deactivates of a formally active notification, the notification type control device CTRL-2 deactivates the light emitting diode LED2 and activates the speaker (col 7 section 0036). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the above teaching with Dettinger, in order to use of the digital radio bandwidth to broadcast multiple content on a single station.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2686

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bremer (US Patent 6,018,671) disclose Silent Call Accept

Bork et al. (International Publication 2002/0010008 A1) disclose wireless communication device having intelligent alerting system

Nishimura (US Publication Number 2003/0008687) disclose mobile terminal device to controlling incoming call notifying method

Hokao et al. (US Patent Number 6,044,279) disclose portable electronic apparatus with adjustable volume of ringing tone

Peeters (US Patent Publication 2004/0119591A1) disclose method and apparatus for wide area surveillance of a terrorist of personal threat

Patterson et al. (US Patent 5,749,056) disclose method audio ramping technique for a radio Bowen et al. (US Patent 5,224,151) disclose automatic handset-speakerphone switching arrangement for portable communication device

Westerhuis (European Patent Application EP 0957619 A1) disclose environment sensitive notification means for portable terminal

5. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 703-308-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (703) 305-4379.

Art Unit: 2686

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

November 26, 2004